

RAILWAY CLAIMS TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH
OA(IIu)/MCC/369/2019
(Sakina N Ahmed Vs. GM. CR. Rly)

Date: 10.11.2025

Present : None for the Applicant
Ms. Rupali Nayak -Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER

On 22.11.2023 amendment application was allowed and the case was fixed for arguments on 31.01.2024. Continuously on 31.01.2024, 02.05.2024, 30.08.2024 and 03.07.2025 neither the applicant nor counsel for the applicant was present before the court. Today the case has been called twice. Today also there is no representation on the part of the applicant. For continuous default on the part of the applicant and his counsel the present case is dismissed for default with liberty to the applicant and her counsel to file appropriate application for recalling the order passed and restoration of the case to its original number. Needless to say if the case is restored, cost may be imposed on the applicant if no sufficient reasons ^{are mentioned} ~~mention~~ in application.

(Mohit Sinha)
Member (Technical)

(K S Ahluwalia)
Chairman/RCT @ Mumbai